

Comparison of Laparoscopic versus Open Appendicectomy in Acute Appendicitis

Laura Villaverde¹, Ana Isabel²

Abstract Introduction: Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical emergencies worldwide. Open appendicectomy (OA) has been the standard procedure for over a century. However, laparoscopic appendicectomy (LA) has gained popularity due to perceived advantages including reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stay, and improved cosmetic outcomes. This study compares laparoscopic and open appendicectomy in terms of operative time, postoperative pain, complications, hospital stay, and recovery. **Materials and Methods:** A prospective comparative study was conducted among 120 patients diagnosed with acute appendicitis. Patients were divided into two groups: Group A (Laparoscopic appendicectomy, n=60) and Group B (Open appendicectomy, n=60). Parameters evaluated included operative time, postoperative pain (VAS score), duration of hospital stay, wound infection, intra-abdominal abscess, and time to return to normal activity. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25. **Results:** The mean operative time was slightly longer in LA (58.4±12.6 min) compared to OA (48.2±10.4 min). Postoperative pain scores were significantly lower in LA (p<0.001). The mean hospital stay was shorter in LA (2.4±0.8 days) versus OA (4.1±1.2 days). Wound infection rate was lower in LA (5%) compared to OA (15%). Time to return to normal activity was significantly earlier in LA group. **Conclusion:** Laparoscopic appendicectomy is associated with reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stay, fewer wound complications, and faster recovery, despite slightly longer operative time. It may be considered the preferred approach in uncomplicated acute appendicitis

Keywords: Acute appendicitis; Laparoscopic appendicectomy; Open appendicectomy; Postoperative complications; Surgical outcomes.

¹Médica, Especialista en Toxicología, Universidad de Antioquia. Medellín

³ Licenciada en Farmacia, Especialista en Farmacia Hospitalaria, Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital Público da Mariña. Lugo, Medellín



INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis is the most frequent cause of acute abdomen requiring emergency surgery worldwide¹. The lifetime risk of developing appendicitis is approximately 7-8%, with a peak incidence in the second and third decades of life². Despite advances in imaging and antibiotic therapy, appendicectomy remains the definitive treatment for most cases³.

Open appendicectomy (OA), first described by McBurney in 1894, has been the gold standard surgical technique for more than a century⁴. It involves a right lower quadrant incision, direct visualization of the appendix, and ligation at its base. Although effective and widely practiced, OA is associated with postoperative pain, wound complications, and longer hospital stay⁵.

The advent of minimally invasive surgery revolutionized abdominal procedures. Semm performed the first laparoscopic appendicectomy (LA) in 1983⁶. Since then, LA has gained widespread acceptance due to advantages such as reduced postoperative pain, better visualization of the abdominal cavity, shorter recovery time, and improved cosmetic outcomes⁷. The magnified view provided by laparoscopy allows better identification of anatomical structures and diagnosis of alternative pathology, especially in female patients⁸.

Multiple randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses have compared LA and OA. Studies suggest that LA is associated with reduced wound infection rates and shorter hospital stay, though it may involve slightly longer operative time^{9,10}. However, concerns remain regarding increased intra-abdominal abscess rates in complicated appendicitis cases¹¹. In developing countries, cost considerations and availability of

expertise may influence the choice of surgical technique¹². Furthermore, surgeon preference and institutional protocols also contribute to variation in practice¹³.

Given evolving evidence and increasing preference for minimally invasive surgery, it is important to evaluate outcomes of LA versus OA in the context of modern surgical practice. This study aims to compare laparoscopic and open appendicectomy in terms of operative time, postoperative pain, complications, hospital stay, and recovery outcomes in patients presenting with acute appendicitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective comparative study conducted in the Department of General Surgery over 18 months.

Study Design

120 patients clinically and radiologically diagnosed with acute appendicitis. **Sample**

Size

Group A: Laparoscopic appendicectomy (n=60)

Group B: Open appendicectomy (n=60)
Allocation was based on surgeon preference and patient consent.

Inclusion Criteria

- Age 18–60 years
- Clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis
- Ultrasound/CT confirmation
- ASA Grade I–II
- Informed consent obtained

Exclusion Criteria

- Complicated appendicitis (perforation with generalized peritonitis)
- Appendicular mass/abscess
- Previous lower abdominal surgery
- Pregnancy
- Severe cardiopulmonary comorbidities ASA Grade III or above

Surgical Procedure

Laparoscopic Appendicectomy:

Three-port technique under general anesthesia. Appendix identified, mesoappendix divided using bipolar cautery, base secured with endoloop, specimen retrieved in endobag.

Open Appendicectomy:

McBurney's incision. Appendix ligated and removed. Wound closed in layers.

Parameters Studied

Outcome Measures

- Operative time
- Postoperative pain (VAS at 24 hrs)
- Length of hospital stay
- Wound infection
- Intra-abdominal abscess
- Time to return to normal activity

Statistical Analysis

Data analyzed using SPSS v25. Student's t-test and Chi-square test applied. $p < 0.05$ considered significant.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic Distribution

Variable	LA (n=60)	OA (n=60)
Mean Age (years)	29.4±8.2	31.1±7.9
Male (%)	60%	63%

Interpretation: Groups were comparable in age and gender distribution ($p > 0.05$).

Table 2: Operative Time

Group	Mean Time (min)
LA	58.4±12.6
OA	48.2±10.4

Interpretation: Operative time significantly longer in LA ($p < 0.01$).

Table 3: Postoperative Pain (VAS)

Group	Mean VAS Score
LA	3.2±1.1
OA	5.6±1.4

Interpretation: LA significantly reduced postoperative pain.

Table 4: Hospital Stay

Group	Mean Stay (days)
LA	2.4±0.8
OA	4.1±1.2

Interpretation: Shorter hospital stay in LA ($p < 0.001$).

Table 5: Wound Infection

Group	Cases (%)
LA	3 (5%)
OA	9 (15%)

Interpretation: Higher wound infection in OA ($p < 0.05$).

Table 6: Return to Normal Activity

Group	Mean Days
LA	7.3±2.1
OA	12.6±3.4

Interpretation : Faster recovery in LA group ($p < 0.001$)

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates that laparoscopic appendicectomy offers significant advantages over open appendicectomy in terms of postoperative pain, hospital stay, wound infection, and return to normal activity. These findings align with recent systematic reviews and randomized trials^{14,15}.

Although operative time was longer in LA, similar findings have been reported by Jaschinski et al.¹⁶, who attributed increased time to port placement and learning curve. However, operative duration decreases with surgical experience¹⁷.

Postoperative pain was significantly lower in LA group. Minimally invasive access results in reduced tissue trauma and inflammatory response¹⁸. Meta-analyses confirm lower analgesic requirement in LA patients¹⁹.

Wound infection rate was lower in LA (5%) compared to OA (15%). This is consistent with findings by Ukai et al.²⁰ and Athanasiou et al.²¹. Reduced wound handling and specimen retrieval in endobag contribute to lower infection rates.

Hospital stay was significantly shorter in LA. Faster mobilization and early oral intake contribute to earlier discharge²². Enhanced recovery protocols further improve outcomes in laparoscopic surgery²³.

Return to normal activity was earlier in LA group, reflecting quicker convalescence. Cosmetic satisfaction is also higher in LA, though not measured

in this study²⁴.

Some literature suggests increased intra-abdominal abscess in complicated appendicitis cases with LA²⁵, but this study excluded complicated cases.

Overall, findings support current guidelines favoring laparoscopic appendicectomy as first-line treatment in uncomplicated appendicitis.

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopic appendicectomy is superior to open appendicectomy in terms of reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stay, fewer wound infections, and faster return to normal activities. Despite slightly longer operative time, LA should be considered the preferred approach in uncomplicated acute appendicitis where expertise and resources are available.

REFERENCES

1. Bhangu A, Søreide K, Di Saverio S, Assarsson JH, Drake FT. Acute appendicitis: modern understanding of pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. *Lancet*. 2015;386(10000):1278–87.
2. Di Saverio S, Podda M, De Simone B, Ceresoli M, Augustin G, Gori A, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of acute appendicitis: 2020 update of the WSES Jerusalem guidelines. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2020;15(1):27.
3. Sartelli M, Baiocchi GL, Di Saverio S, Ferrara F, Labricciosa FM, Ansaloni L, et al. Prospective observational study on acute appendicitis worldwide (POSAW). *World J Emerg Surg*. 2018;13:19.

4. Ferris M, Quan S, Kaplan BS, Molodecky N, Ball CG, Chernoff GW, et al. The global incidence of appendicitis: a systematic review. *Ann Surg.* 2017;266(2):237–41.
5. Gomes CA, Junior CS, Costa E, Alves CB, Soares C, Ferraz AA. Laparoscopy versus laparotomy in complicated appendicitis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2016;263(3):444–51.
6. Jaschinski T, Mosch C, Eikermann M, Neugebauer EA, Sauerland S. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in patients with suspected appendicitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;11:CD001546.
7. Athanasiou C, Lockwood S, Markides GA. Systematic review and meta-analysis of laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in adults with complicated appendicitis. *Surg Endosc.* 2017;31(9):3507–17.
8. Ukai T, Shikata S, Takeda H, Matsushita A, Nakamura T, Ogawa M, et al. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy for complicated appendicitis: meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2016;264(1):38–45.
9. Cheng Y, Zhou S, Zhou R, Lu J, Wu S, Xiong X, et al. Abdominal drainage after laparoscopic appendectomy for complicated appendicitis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc.* 2017;31(9):3525–34.
10. Markar SR, Blackburn S, Cobb R, Karthikesalingam A, Evans J, Kinross J. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy for complicated and uncomplicated appendicitis in adults. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech.* 2017;27(1):1–5.
11. Li X, Zhang J, Sang L, Zhang W, Chu Z, Li X, et al. Laparoscopic versus conventional appendectomy: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2018;97(33):e12281.
12. Kim M, Kim SJ, Cho HJ. Learning curve of laparoscopic appendectomy. *Surg Endosc.* 2016;30(9):3866–73.
13. Drake FT, Florence MG, Johnson MG, Jurkovich GJ, Kwon S, Schmidt Z, et al. Progress in the diagnosis of appendicitis: a report from a large population-based study. *JAMA Surg.* 2016;151(1):1–8.
14. Podda M, Gerardi C, Cillara N, Fearnhead N, Gomes CA, Birindelli A, et al. Antibiotics-first strategy for uncomplicated appendicitis in adults: meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc.* 2019;33(12):3983–93.
15. Rollins KE, Varadhan KK, Neal KR, Lobo DN. Antibiotics versus appendectomy for acute appendicitis: meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2016;263(1):1–7.
16. Minneci PC, Hade EM, Lawrence AE, Sebastião YV, Saito JM, Mak GZ, et al. Association of nonoperative management using antibiotics with treatment success for uncomplicated appendicitis. *JAMA Surg.* 2016;151(5):408–15.
17. Tuggle KR, Ortega G, Bolorunduro O, Oyetunji TA, Turner PL, Cornwell EE, et al. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy: outcomes comparison. *J Surg Res.* 2017;220:72–78.
18. Gorter RR, Eker HH, Gorter-Stam MA, Abis GS, Acharya A, Ankersmit M, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute appendicitis. *Br J Surg.* 2016;103(1):191–200.
19. Andert A, Alizai HP, Klink CD, Neumann UP, Binnebösel M. Risk factors for intra-abdominal abscess after laparoscopic appendectomy. *Surg Endosc.* 2017;31(5):232–38.
20. Yu MC, Feng YJ, Wang W, Fan W, Cheng HT, Xu J. Is laparoscopic appendectomy feasible for complicated appendicitis? *Int J Surg.* 2017;48:28–35.
21. Zhang S, Jiang H, Sun L, Liu H, Wu X. Comparison of laparoscopic versus open appendectomy: updated meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2018;97(51):e13030.
22. Gomes CA, Sartelli M, Di Saverio S, Ansaloni L, Catena F. Acute appendicitis: proposal of a new comprehensive grading system. *World J Emerg Surg.* 2016;11:34.
23. De Simone B, Chouillard E, Di Saverio S, Pagani L, Sartelli M, Coccolini F, et al. Emergency laparoscopy for acute



- abdominal conditions. *World J Emerg Surg.* 2016;11:37.
24. Salminen P, Paajanen H, Rautio T, Nordström P, Aarnio M, Rantanen T, et al. Five-year follow-up of antibiotic therapy for uncomplicated acute appendicitis. *JAMA.* 2018;320(12):1259–65.
 25. Bhangu A, Nepogodiev D, Lal N, Bowley DM, Drake FT. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing laparoscopic and open appendectomy. *Br J Surg.* 2016;103(3):191–200.